

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/649,793 | 08/28/2003 | Gregory Cole | 029211.52672US | 5573 | |
| 23911 CROWELL & | 7590 10/27/201 MORING LLP | EXAMINER | | | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP | | | VILAKAZI, SIZO BINDA | | |
| P.O. BOX 143 WASHINGTO | 00 N, DC 20044-4300 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | . , | | 3747 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 10/27/2010 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/649,793 | COLE ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| SIZO B. VILAKAZI | 3747 | | |

| | SIZO B. VILAKAZI | 3747 | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 07 October 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | | |
| I. Material The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin | date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set torth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | F W 07 OFD 44 07 | Filed . Mile & | | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially rec | lucing or simplifying the | ne issues for | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | : | | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | | • | | | | | | | |
| | 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 92-98. | Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| /Stephen K. Cronin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3747 | /SIZO B VILAKAZI/ Examiner, Art Unit 3747 | | | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to the argument that the modification of sail et al. with Burson is incorrect, the examiner disagrees. The aperture 76 a which allows air to pass three if ywheel this operating the fan is located at the innermost potential of the flywheel close to the crankchaft, as can be seen in Fig. 7. The examiner also points out that although Assail et al. state there are plural "spertures" in the rotor, only one aperture has been explicitly denoted within the text and the drawings (see Colum 5, Lines 64-65). Thus it is within reason to believe that one of ordinary skill would have modified the flywheel disclosed by As all explications and adjacent ferromagnetic material disclosed around the outermost perimeter of the inner flywheel, thus it would not have covered the aperture 76 disclosed by Asai et al., and therefore would not have impeded the operation of the final processes and all the disclosed by Asai et al., and therefore would not have impeded the operation of the final processes and all the processes are all the all th